

## Woman loses appeal of dismissal by ACC

United Press International

SAN FRANCISCO — A woman's civil rights were not violated when she was notified that her contract at Alaska's Anchorage Community College wasn't being renewed, the 9th U.S. Circuit Court has ruled.

Theresa Obermeyer, former director of student services at the community college, brought suit on Oct. 28, 1981, against the University of Alaska and the state Board of Regents, alleging denial of due process rights.

Obermeyer had been hired under a nine-month contract, from Oct. 2, 1978, to June 30, 1979. She had hoped to have the job renewed upon completion of her original contract, court testimony said. But in February 1979, she was told

her contract would not be offered again when it expired in four months.

Obermeyer brought suit in U.S. District Court, before Judge Gordon Thompson, Jr., alleging her rights were violated and that "she has a protected property interest in her continual employment with UA because of her status and her contract," court records said.

The circuit court upheld Judge Thompson's decision, saying: "Of course, she could not be discharged for reasons which in themselves violated the Constitution. That was not the case here. The court properly disposed of her procedural challenges to the grievance proceeding, demonstrating that no constitutional violation occurred."

**U.S. Court of Appeals for Ninth Circuit judgement for \$171,617.75 paid  
December 31, 1986.**

Please cross-reference the three Theresa Nangle Obermeyer "Not For Publication" rulings by U. S. Court of Appeals for Ninth Circuit to Home page file "Rejections U. S. Supreme Court pages 3 - 6 Theresa Nangle Obermeyer vs. United States of America No. 96-9486 and No. 95-6843.

**FILED**  
MAY 13 1986  
CATHY A. CATTERSON  
CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

1  
2  
3 THERESA OBERMEYER )  
4 Plaintiff-Appellant, )  
5 vs. )  
6 UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA, et al., )  
7 Defendant Appellees. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

No. 85-3826  
DC Civil #A81-448

MEMORANDUM\*

8 Appeal from the United States District Court  
9 for the District of Alaska  
10 District Judge Gordon Thompson Jr., Presiding  
11 [Submitted May 8, 1986 \*\* – Seattle]

12 Before: WRIGHT and ANDERSON, Circuit Judges, and LYNCH,, District Judge. \*\*\*

13  
14 BACKGROUND

15 Obermeyer brought this civil rights action against the  
16 University of Alaska (UA) and the State Board of Regents, alleging  
17 denial of her due process rights and retaliatory nonretention.  
18 She was hired by UA affiliate Anchorage Community College (ACC) as  
19 Director of Student Services, a staff position. She had a nine-  
20 month contract from October 2, 1978 to June 30, 1979. It

21  
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not  
24 be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 21.  
25 \*\* Oral argument waived by the court.  
26 \*\*\*Hon. Eugene F. Lynch of the Northern District of California.

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
October 7, 1994

For further Information:278-9455

United States of America vs. Theresa Nangle Obermeyer A94-074 CR

Four Alaska Attorneys' Complaints-Michael Sean McLaughlin, Assistant Bar Counsel, Alaska Bar Association, 510 L Streets, Suite 602, Anchorage, Alaska 99501, phone (907)272-7469, Fax (907)272-2932.

1. Robert C. Bundy, U.S. Attorney, filed complaint August 23, 1994 arising out of the fabricated federal criminal charges as regards events which occurred in Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse on June 29, 1994. Mr. Bundy, previously partner, Bogle and Gates, appointed in February, 1994, is the first permanent U.S. Attorney since 1987.

2. Ken Diemer, law clerk for U.S. Magistrate Judge Albert Harry Branson, filed complaint September 9, 1994 for fallacious testimony as regards a Ball Hearing held on August 19, 1994 about issues concerning my chance meeting with U.S. Magistrate Judge Patricia Collins August 16 in Federal Building.

3. Retta-Rae Randall, Assistant Federal Public Defender, filed complaint September 9, 1994, for phony file document she brought to Bail Hearing August 19 from which she cross-examined Mr. Diemer.

4. Nancy Shaw, Federal Public Defender, District of Alaska, whose appointment by 38 judges on Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, comes due August 25, 1995, filed complaint September 9, 1994 for her lack of representation when this matter first arose on June 29, her lack of assistance during the two months that followed her lack of supervision of Ms. Randall as regarded Bail Hearing August 19, and for her part in squelching my "Letter to Publisher," August 31, 1994, Chugiak-Eagle River Star.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, Complaints against Three Life Appointed U.S. District Court Judges and One U.S Magistrate Judge, Cathy A. Catterson, Clark, United States Court of Appeals, 121 Spear Street, P. O. Box 193939, San Francisco, California 94119-3939, phone (415)744-9800, Fax (415)744-9725.

1. Judge H. Russel Holland, Chief Judge U.S District Court, District of Alaska, Anchorage filed complaint September 20, 1994 far his part in making up a federal criminal trial June 29 and arranging for Judge William B. Inright, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, San Diego to fly here for the trial September 26-28.

2. Judge William B Enright U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, San Diego, filed complaint September 20, 1994 for his part in the fabricated federal, criminal trial that was held September 26-28.

3. U.S. Magistrate Judge Albert Harry Branson Anchorage, filed September 20, 1994 as regarded his part in holding a hearing June 29 to announce the charges of "Engaging in Disorderly Conduct," "Bail Hearing" of August 19, and lack of supervision of his employee, Ken Diemer, law clerk.

4. Judge James K. Singleton, Jr., U.S. District Court, District of Alaska, Anchorage, filed complaint September 23, 1994 after I received a document September 21. It was written by Judge Singleton and addressed to Chief Judge Holland, Judge Sedwick, and Mr. Bob Mobbs about issues arising out of joint State-Federal Court Gender Equality Task Force Meeting June 29. I then heard Judge Singleton testify against me on September 26.

Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct-Marla N. Greenstein, Executive Director, 310 K Street, Anchorage, AK 99301. Phone (907)272-1033, Fax (907)272- 9309.

Judge Dana A. Fabe, Superior Court Third Judicial District, filed complaint October 5, 1994 after I heard her testimony at the fabricated federal criminal trial on September 26. Judge Fabe is married to Randall Simpson, who is Howard Trickey's law partner, Jermain, Dunnagan, and Owens. I filed a complaint against Mr. Trickey with Alaska Bar Association on December 20, 1993 as regarded his representation of me as counsel, Anchorage School Board. I understand Mr. Trickey makes over \$400,000 a year from Anchorage School District. I found out only during Judge Fabe's testimony that it was she who called Court Security Officers and U.S. Marshals on me June 29 when I had been invited to joint State-Federal Court, Gender Equality Task Force by Teri Carns, Alaska Judicial Council. I had written a proposal to be a consultant to the Task Force submitted June 24. Why was I invited June 29 when it was apparent from the testimony during the trial that I was not welcome? It is important to note that this was the first time a non-member had come to a Task Force Meeting. Every other person at the meeting was a highly paid court employee.

## THERESA NANGLE OBERMEYER

---

3000 Dartmouth Drive  
Anchorage Alaska 99508  
(907) 278-9455 Message Phone, FAX

November 8, 1994

Complaints against Honorable Eugene & Wright, U.S. Court of Appeals Ninth Circuit, Seattle and Honorable Eugene F. Lynch, U.S. District Court Northern District of California San Francisco California (Honorable Blaine Anderson, U.S. Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, Boise, Idaho is now deceased.)

Per Ms. Gwen Baptiste's instructions, Clerk's Office, U.S. Court of Appeals, San Francisco, enclosed are attachments including 2 pages summary dated October 7, 1994 of nine related complaints filed with Alaska Bar Association, Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, and United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, 2 pages cover letter of August 13, 1994, check of December 30, 1986 for \$17,161.75 signed by Mr. Bruce Nangle, Attorney, 8 pages "Not for Publication" Ruling Theresa Nangle Obermeyer vs. University of Alaska No. 85-3826, Civil #A81-448, and 3 pages "Judgment in a Criminal Case" of September 29, 1994 in United States of America vs Theresa Nangle Obermeyer No. 94-30363, CR#A94-074 WBE.

I have been married to Thomas S. Obermeyer since December 23, 1977. Please review In the Matter of the Application of Thomas S. Obermeyer 717 Pacific Reporter 2d 3S2, 57 American Law Reports 4th 1195 (Alaska 1986); This case was published on April 18, 1986; ABOUT THREE WEEKS BEFORE THE RULING in Theresa Nangle Obermeyer vs. University of Alaska, No. 85-3826, DC Civil. #81-448. I have been aware for a long time that judges Wright, Anderson, and Lynch knew or should have known that my husband's lead case in American Law Reports 4th had been published on April 18, 1986 prior to their "En Banc Ruling" on May 8, 1986 but Tom and I have been focused on his efforts to be licensed to practice law in Alaska. How is it possible that these high ranking and long serving judges in Ninth Circuit did not know about the ALR annotation that bears my husband's name on May 8, 1986? This ALR 4th annotation is "a complete statement of all the case law on a given point; a precise, current and authoritative treatise that is the quickest way to all the law within the scope of the subject matter." These issues are these judges' "stock and trade" and they have a sworn duty to be fair and uphold American law. The above cited judges must have acted with premeditation and malice as regarded my career as an educator on May 8, 1986. If they had acted ethically that day, these matters would have no doubt resolved themselves very quickly.

I am convinced that Tom's lead case was published to a large extent because of the unanswered questions about Alaska law license of the eighth ranking of 100 U.S. Senator Ted Stevens as per Application of Theodore F. Stevens, 355 Pacific Reporter 2d 164 (Alaska 1960) which I sent 21 pages of correspondence to the 38 Judges of U.S. Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit in September, 1994 Thomas S. Obermeyer has studied for, paid for, and taken 18 Alaska Bar Exams over 10 1/2 years (i.e., a decade and six months) although he was licensed by similar Missouri Bar Exam on May 5, 1990. He was informed on October 28, 1994 that he had been failed again in the only state in United States that does not have a law school.

cc:Board of Governors, Alaska Bar Association  
Board of Regents, University of Alaska

**RECEIVED**

**AUG 28 1995**

**FEDERAL PUBLIC  
DEFENDER**

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**FILED**

**AUG 23 1995  
CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. 94-30368
	)	
Plaintiff-Appellee	)	D. C. No. ACR-94-00074-WBE
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
THERESA NANGLE OBERMEYER,	)	MEMORANDUM*
	)	
Defendant -Appellant.	)	
_____	)	

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Alaska  
William B. Enright District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 7, 1995\*\*  
Anchorage, Alaska

Before: HALL. WIGGINS. and KLEINFELD, Circuit Judges

**BACKGROUND**

On June 29, 1994, Dr. Theresa Nangle Obermeyer attends a Joint Federal State Court Gender Equality Task Force meeting, which was held in the chambers of U. S. District Court Judge Singleton. Following the meeting, Dr. Obermeyer attempted to remain in Judge Singleton's chambers. He indicated that he needed to prepare for an impending bench trial. Although Dr. Obermeyer

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel finds this case appropriate for submission without argument pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 34 (a) and 9th Cir B

**FILED**

**MAR 27 1995**  
CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**RECEIVED**

**APR - 1 1997**

**FEDERAL PUBLIC  
DEFENDER**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. 96-30185
	)	
Plaintiff-Appellee	)	
	)	
v.	)	D. C. NO.
	)	CR-94-00074-WBE
	)	
THERESA NANGLE OBERMEYER,	)	
	)	
Defendant -Appellant.	)	MEMORANDUM*
_____	)	

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Alaska  
William B. Enright District Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 6, 1997\*  
Seattle, Washington

Before: WALLACE BOOCHEEVER and HAWKINS,  
Circuit Judges.

Obermeyer appeals from the district court’s revocation of her probation. The district court had jurisdiction under 18 U. S. C. § 3231. We have jurisdiction over this timely appeal pursuant to 28 U. S. C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Obermeyer asserts that there was insufficient evidence for the district court to find that she violated the terms of her probation. “The standard of proof required is that evidence and facts be such as reasonably to satisfy the judge that the probationer’s conduct has not been as required by the conditions

---

\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. Fed. R. App. P. 34(a) and Ninth Circuit Rule 34-4.